

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL C. BOLIN,

Petitioner,

v.

RACHAEL NEWCOMB, et al.,

Respondents.

No. 2:24-cv-0413-TLN-CKD

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On April 23, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on Petitioner, and which contained notice to Petitioner that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 8.) The time to file objections has passed, and Petitioner did not file any objections.

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed *de novo*. *See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

1

2 || Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

10 || Date: June 11, 2024

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

—

2

25

20

21

Troy L. Nunley

Troy L. Nunley
United States District Judge